

26 JAN 20 PM 1:25

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

CLERK OF THE COURTS
NORFOLK COUNTY

THE TRIAL COURT

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT

NORFOLK, ss.

Civil Action No. 2382CV00389

SANDRA FERNANDEZ,

Filed Under Special Appearance and Reservation of All Rights,

Petitioner,

v.

MARINA BAY RESIDENCES, LLC, BOZZUTO

MANAGEMENT CO., and DELANEY BARRETT,

Defendants,

MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION OF TRANSCRIPT AT STATE EXPENSE

(Filed Pursuant to Appeals Court Order Dated November 21, 2025)

INTRODUCTION

The appellant, Sandra Fernandez, respectfully moves this Court for an order authorizing the preparation of transcripts at state expense pursuant to G.L. c. 261, §§ 27A-27G. This motion is filed in Civil Action No. 2382CV00389, the trial-court docket underlying the pending appeal in the Massachusetts Appeals Court, and is submitted in direct compliance with the Appeals Court's Order dated November 21, 2025, which order appellant to request for transcripts at state expense in the trial court.

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. This action, docketed as **2382CV00389**, is the trial-court case from which an appeal is presently pending in the Massachusetts Appeals Court (now proceeding under

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

Appeals Court Docket No. **2025-P-1463**).

2. On November 21, 2025, the Appeals Court entered an order denying the appellant's Motion for Authorization of Transcript at State Expense without prejudice to renewal in the trial court, and expressly directed that such relief be sought here.

3. The appellant now files this motion pursuant to and in compliance with that Appeals Court directive, seeking authorization from the trial court that generated the record subject to appellate review.

LEGAL STANDARD

Under G.L. c. 261, §§ 27A–27G, an indigent litigant is entitled to waiver of fees and costs, including the cost of transcripts, where such transcripts are reasonably necessary for appellate review.

Massachusetts courts recognize that access to transcripts is essential to meaningful appellate review, and that denial of transcripts to an indigent appellant may effectively foreclose appellate rights.

When the Appeals Court directs renewal of a transcript request in the trial court, the trial court's role is to determine indigency and necessity in order to permit proper assembly of the appellate record.

ARGUMENT

A. The Appellant Is Indigent Within the Meaning of G.L. c. 261

The appellant has previously filed an Affidavit of Indigency, which was accepted by the Appeals Court. That affidavit remains accurate. No material change in circumstances has occurred.

1. "3" 100.00 100.00

CONCLUSIONS

1. *Chlorophyll a* and *Chlorophyll b* (mg/g)

B. The Requested Transcripts Are Reasonably Necessary for the Pending Appeal

The appeal arises from orders and proceedings in this action that cannot be meaningfully reviewed without reference to the trial-court record. The transcripts sought are necessary to permit the Appeals Court to evaluate the procedural and substantive issues raised on appeal and to ensure a complete and accurate appellate record.

C. This Motion Is Filed Solely to Comply With the Appeals Court's Order and Does Not Invoke Any Other Docket

This motion is filed only in Civil Action No. 2382CV00389, which is the trial-court docket underlying the pending appeal. It does not seek relief in, nor does it invoke, or unrelated proceedings. Its sole purpose is to comply with the Appeals Court's November 21, 2025 order and to facilitate proper assembly of the appellate record.

WHEREFORE

For the foregoing reasons, the appellant respectfully requests that this Court:

1. **Authorize the preparation of the necessary transcripts at state expense pursuant to G.L. c. 261, §§ 27A–27G; and**
2. **Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper to effectuate compliance with the Appeals Court's directive and to preserve the appellant's appellate rights.**

Respectfully submitted,

1. The first part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

191

2. The second part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
3. The third part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
4. The fourth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
5. The fifth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

6. The sixth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

7. The seventh part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

8. The eighth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
9. The ninth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
10. The tenth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
11. The eleventh part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

12

12. The twelfth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
13. The thirteenth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
14. The fourteenth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the
15. The fifteenth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

16. The sixteenth part of the paper is devoted to the study of the

17

18

Sandra Fernandez, Pro Se
Filed Under Special Appearance and Reservation of All Rights,

550 Liberty St. Unit 905
Braintree, MA 02184

Tel: (617) 806 6566

Email: sandreva14@yahoo.com

Date: January 7, 2026

By: Sandra Fernandez

/s/ Sandra Fernandez
Sandra Fernandez

10

1. The first part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the

main results of the paper.

2. The second part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the

main results of the paper.

3. The third part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the

main results of the paper.

4. The fourth part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the

5. The fifth part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 7, 2026 a copy of the foregoing was served electronically on all counsel of record.

DEFENDANT,
MARINA BAY RESIDENCES, LLC,
BY ITS ATTORNEYS,

Daniel P. Dain, BBO# 632411
Kate Moran Carter, BBO# 663202
Dain, Torpy, Le Ray, Wiest & Garner, P.C.
ddain@daintorpy.com
kcarter@daintorpy.com

Gregory P. Sapire (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Raj Aujla (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Maynard Nexsen P.C.
gsapire@maynardnexsen.com
raujla@maynardnexsen.com

DEFENDANTS,
BOZZUTO MANAGEMENT CO. and
DELANEY BARRETT
BY THEIR ATTORNEYS,

Ted Papadopoulos, BBO# 671506
AMPS Law, P.C.
ted@ampslaw.com.

James Bragdon (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP
Sangita Kannan (*Pro Hac Vice*)
jbragdon@gejlaw.com
skannan@gejlaw.com

ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

Jonathan D. Sweet, Esq.
Keches Law Group P.C.
jsweet@kecheslaw.com

PRO SE LITIGANT

Dany Abouelkhier
danytao@yahoo.com

By: Sandra Fernandez
Filed Under Special Appearance and Reservation of All Rights,
Petitioner,

/s/ Sandra Fernandez
Sandra Fernandez

ANNOUNCEMENT OF DEATH

It is with deep sorrow that we announce the death of

Mr. J. H. Smith, who passed away at his home in

the city of New York, on the 10th day of

the month of June, 1900, at the age of 75 years.

He was born in the city of New York, on the 10th day of

the month of June, 1825, and was the son of

Mr. J. H. Smith, of the city of New York, and

was the husband of Mrs. J. H. Smith, who

was born in the city of New York, on the 10th day of

the month of June, 1825, and was the daughter of

Mr. J. H. Smith, of the city of New York, and

was the daughter of